

On the nonexistence of Einstein metric on 4-manifolds

Chanyoung Sung*

National Institute for Mathematical Sciences
385-16 Doryong-dong Yuseong-gu Daejeon Korea

Abstract

By using the gluing formula of the Seiberg-Witten invariant, we show the nonexistence of Einstein metric on manifolds obtained from a 4-manifold with nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant by performing sufficiently many connected sums or appropriate surgeries along circles or homologically trivial 2-spheres with closed oriented 4-manifolds with negative definite intersection form.

1 Introduction

A smooth Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called Einstein if it satisfies

$$Ric_g = cg,$$

where Ric_g denotes the Ricci curvature of g , and c is a constant. When the dimension of M is less than 4, any Einstein manifold is a space form whose classification is well-known. In higher dimensions, it is in general difficult to decide whether a manifold admits an Einstein metric. Unlike the dimension greater than 4 where no topological obstruction is known, any closed orientable 4 manifold M admitting an Einstein metric must satisfy the Hitchin-Thorpe inequality [3, 6, 13]

$$2\chi(M) + 3|\tau(M)| \geq 0$$

*email address: cysung@nims.re.kr Key Words: Einstein metric, Seiberg-Witten theory MS Classification(2000): 53C25, 57R57, 57M50

with equality held only by a quotient of $K3$ surface or 4-torus, where $\chi(M)$ and $\tau(M)$ respectively denote the Euler characteristic and the signature of M . This well-known inequality is the consequence of the 4-dimensional Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula.

Since the 4-dimensional geometry is complicated by the possible existence of many smooth structures, the condition for the existence of Einstein metric on 4-manifolds inevitably involve the underlying smooth structure. It was the Seiberg-Witten theory that has brought a remarkable improvement of the Hitchin-Thorpe condition. LeBrun exploited the curvature estimate coming from the Seiberg-Witten theory to derive that any closed Einstein 4-manifold M with a monopole class satisfies

$$\chi(M) \geq 3\tau(M)$$

with equality held only by a compact complex hyperbolic 2-space or a flat 4-manifold ([7]), and

Theorem 1.1 (LeBrun [9]) *Let M be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with a nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant. Then $M \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2} \# l(S^1 \times S^3)$ does not admit Einstein metric if $k + 4l > 0$ and $k + 4l \geq \frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M))$.*

In this article, we generalize this theorem to :

Theorem 1.2 *Let M be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with a nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant and N be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with $b_2^+(N) = 0$. Then $M \# N$ does not admit Einstein metric if*

$$b_2(N) + 4b_1(N) > 0$$

and

$$b_2(N) + 4b_1(N) \geq \frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)).$$

Definition 1 *Let M_1 and M_2 be smooth n -manifolds and suppose that k -spheres c_1 and c_2 are embedded into M_1 and M_2 respectively with trivial normal bundle. A surgery of M_1 and M_2 along c_i 's are defined as the result of deleting tubular neighborhood of each c_i and gluing the remainders by identifying two boundaries $S^k \times S^{n-k-1}$ using a diffeomorphism of S^k and the reflection map of S^{n-k-1} .*

Note that the surgery on M with $(S^1 \times S^3) \# N$ along a null-homotopic circle in M and a circle representing $[S^1] \times \{\text{pt}\} \in H_1(S^1 \times S^3, \mathbb{Z})$ gives $M \# N$. More generally, we will prove :

Theorem 1.3 *Let M be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with a nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant and N_i be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with $b_2^+(N_i) = 0$ and $b_1(N_i) \geq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Suppose that $c_i \subset N_i$ is an embedded circle nontrivial in $H_1(N_i, \mathbb{R})$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and $\tilde{M}$ is a manifold obtained from M by performing a surgery with $\cup_{i=1}^m N_i$ along $\cup_{i=1}^m c_i$. Then $\tilde{M}$ does not admit Einstein metric if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) > 0$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) \geq \frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)).$$

Most generally, we can also allow surgeries along homologically trivial 2-spheres to give :

Theorem 1.4 *Let M be a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold with a nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant, and $N_i, \bar{N}_j$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$ be smooth closed oriented 4-manifolds such that $b_2^+(N_i) = b_2^+(\bar{N}_j) = 0$ and $b_1(N_i) \geq 1$. Suppose that $c_i \subset N_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ is an embedded circle nontrivial in $H_1(N_i, \mathbb{R})$, and $F_j \subset M$ and $\bar{F}_j \subset \bar{N}_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ are embedded 2-spheres trivial in $H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ and $H_2(\bar{N}_j, \mathbb{R})$ respectively.*

If $\tilde{M}$ is a manifold obtained from M by performing a surgery with $\cup_{i=1}^m N_i$ along $\cup_{i=1}^m c_i$, and with $\cup_{j=1}^n \bar{N}_j$ along $\cup_{j=1}^n F_j$ and $\cup_{j=1}^n \bar{F}_j$, then $\tilde{M}$ does not admit Einstein metric if

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) + \sum_{j=1}^n (b_2(\bar{N}_j) + 4(b_1(\bar{N}_j) + 1)) \geq \frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)).$$

2 Computation of Seiberg-Witten invariant

We will give a brief definition of the Seiberg-Witten invariant. Let M be a smooth oriented Riemannian 4-manifold and $\mathfrak{s}$ be a Spin^c structure on it.

We assume that M is closed or noncompact with a cylindrical-end metric. Let $\mathbb{A}(M)$ be the graded algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\mathbb{Z}[H_0(M; \mathbb{Z})] \otimes \wedge^* H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$$

with $H_0(M; \mathbb{Z})$ grading two and $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ grading one. An element in $\mathbb{A}(M)$ canonically gives a cocycle of the Seiberg-Witten moduli space, i.e. the solution space modulo gauge transformations of the Seiberg-Witten equations of $(M, \mathfrak{s})$. Thus the evaluation on the fundamental cycle of the moduli space is the *Seiberg-Witten invariant* as a function

$$SW_{M, \mathfrak{s}} : \mathbb{A}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

When $b_2^+(M) > 1$, this is independent of a Riemannian metric and a perturbation term, thus giving a topological invariant. (If $b_2^+(M) = 1$, it may depend on the chamber.) The first Chern class of a Spin^c structure on M whose Seiberg-Witten invariant is nontrivial is called a *basic class* of M . For more details on the Seiberg-Witten invariant, the readers are referred to [10, 11, 12].

We will need the following gluing formulae of the Seiberg-Witten invariant.

Lemma 2.1 *Let N be a closed oriented smooth 4-manifold with negative-definite intersection form Q . Then there exists a Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{s}'$ on N satisfying $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}') = -b_2(N)$.*

Proof. By the Donaldson's theorem, Q is diagonalizable. (The original Donaldson's theorem [4] is stated for the simply-connected case, but a simple application of the Mayer-Vietoris argument gives this generalization.) Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{b_2(N)}\}$ be a basis of $H^2(N, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ diagonalizing Q .

We have to show that there exists an element $x \in H^2(N, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $Q(x, x) = -b_2(N)$, and x is characteristic, i.e. $Q(x, \alpha) \equiv Q(\alpha, \alpha) \pmod{2}$ for any $\alpha \in H^2(N, \mathbb{Z})$. This is done by taking $x = \sum_{i=1}^{b_2(N)} \pm \alpha_i$. ■

Theorem 2.2 *Let M and N be smooth closed oriented 4-manifolds such that $b_2^+(M) > 0$, $b_2^+(N) = 0$, and $b_1(N) \geq 1$. Let $c \subset N$ be an embedded circle nontrivial in $H_1(N, \mathbb{R})$ and $\tilde{M}$ be the manifold obtained by performing a surgery on M with N along c .*

If $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ is the Spin^c structure on $\tilde{M}$ obtained by gluing a Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{s}$ on M and a Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{s}'$ on N satisfying $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}') = -b_2(N)$, then

$$SW_{\tilde{M}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}}(a \cdot [d_1] \cdots [d_{b_1(N)-1}]) = \pm SW_{M, \mathfrak{s}}(a)$$

for $a \in \mathbb{A}(M)$, where $[d_1], \dots, [d_{b_1(N)-1}]$ along with $r[c]$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ form a basis for the non-torsion part of $H_1(N, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. See [12]. ■

Theorem 2.3 Let M and N be smooth closed oriented 4-manifolds such that $b_2^+(M) > 0$, and $b_2^+(N) = 0$. Suppose that $F \subset M$ and $\bar{F} \subset N$ are embedded 2-spheres trivial in $H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ and $H_2(N, \mathbb{R})$ respectively, and $\tilde{M}$ is the manifold obtained by performing a surgery on M with N along F and $\bar{F}$.

If $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ is the Spin^c structure on $\tilde{M}$ obtained by gluing a Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{s}$ on M and a Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{s}'$ on N satisfying $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}') = -b_2(N)$, then

$$SW_{\tilde{M}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}}(a \cdot [\gamma] \cdot [d_1] \cdots [d_{b_1(N)}]) = \pm SW_{M, \mathfrak{s}}(a)$$

for $a \in \mathbb{A}(M)$, where γ is a circle $\{pt\} \times D^2$ in a small tubular neighborhood $F \times D^2$ of F , and $[d_1], \dots, [d_{b_1(N)}]$ form a basis for the non-torsion part of $H_1(N, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. Perform a surgery on M with S^4 along F to obtain M' . In the same way, we get N' . The surgery on M' with N' along the circle γ gives $\tilde{M}$.

Lemma 2.4 Let $\hat{M}$ be the manifold obtained from M by deleting a small tubular neighborhood of F . Then

$$H_1(M', \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_1(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$H_2(M', \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_2(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_2(M, \mathbb{R}),$$

where the additional $\mathbb{R}$ -factor is generated by $[\gamma]$, and the isomorphisms are induced by the obvious inclusions. Likewise for N' .

Proof. Obviously $H_1(M', \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_1(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R})$, because $\pi_1(M') \simeq \pi_1(\hat{M})$ by the Seifert-Van Kampen theorem. To see $H_1(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$, it is enough to show that i_* in the following commutative diagram of exact sequences is injective.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_2(\hat{M}, \partial\hat{M}) & \xrightarrow{\partial_*} & H_1(\partial\hat{M}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_1(\hat{M}) \\ PD \downarrow & & \downarrow PD & & \downarrow PD \\ H^2(\hat{M}) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^2(\partial\hat{M}) & \xrightarrow{\partial^*} & H^3(\hat{M}, \partial\hat{M}). \end{array}$$

Suppose not. Then i^* in the above diagram is surjective. This means that there exists a nonzero element in $H^2(M)$, which is dual to $[F]$, yielding a contradiction. This also means that $[F]$ is zero in $H_2(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R})$, which will be used just below.

The fact $H_2(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ follows from the exact sequence

$$H_2(\partial\hat{M}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_2(\hat{M}) \oplus H_2(S^2 \times D^2) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H_2(M) \rightarrow 0,$$

and similarly the fact $H_2(\hat{M}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_2(M', \mathbb{R})$ follows from the exact sequence

$$H_2(\partial\hat{M}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_2(\hat{M}) \oplus H_2(D^3 \times S^1) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H_2(M') \rightarrow 0,$$

where the sequences end with 0, because $i_* : H_1(\partial\hat{M}) \rightarrow H_1(\hat{M})$ is injective. ■

Note that $\mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{s}'$ restrict to be trivial on F and $\bar{F}$ respectively. Thus we abuse the notation to let $\mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{s}'$ be the induced Spin^c structures on M' and N' respectively. By Ozsváth and Szabó [11],

$$SW_{M', \mathfrak{s}}(a \cdot [\gamma]) = \pm SW_{M, \mathfrak{s}}(a)$$

for $a \in \mathbb{A}(M)$. Applying the previous theorem 2.2,

$$SW_{M', \mathfrak{s}}(a \cdot [\gamma]) = \pm SW_{\tilde{M}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}}(a \cdot [\gamma] \cdot [d_1] \cdots [d_{b_1(N)}])$$

for $a \in \mathbb{A}(M)$. ■

3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We need to have a basic class on $\tilde{M}$. Let $\mathfrak{s}$ be the Spin^c structure on M with a nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant. Applying theorem 2.2 successively, $\tilde{M}$ has nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant for $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$. Write $c_1(\tilde{\mathfrak{s}})$ as $c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E$ where $E = c_1(\mathfrak{s}')$ coming from $\cup_{i=1}^m N_i$.

Then the proof proceeds in a similar way to [9]. First,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(\tilde{M}) &= \chi(M) + \sum_{i=1}^m \chi(N_i) \\ &= \chi(M) + \sum_{i=1}^m (2 - 2b_1(N_i) + b_2(N_i)),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$H_2(\tilde{M}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^m H_2(N_i, \mathbb{Z}))$$

by a simple Mayer-Vietoris argument. (Here, we use the fact that c_i 's are all non-torsion.) Thus

$$2\chi(\tilde{M}) + 3\tau(\tilde{M}) = 2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M) - \sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)). \quad (1)$$

Lemma 3.1 *Any Riemannian metric g on $\tilde{M}$ satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\tilde{M}} \left(\frac{s_g^2}{24} + 2|W_+|_g^2 \right) d\mu_g \geq \frac{2}{3} (2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)).$$

Proof. Since $c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E$ and $c_1(\mathfrak{s}) - E$ are basic classes of $\tilde{M}$, LeBrun's estimate [9] gives

$$\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\tilde{M}} \left(\frac{s_g^2}{24} + 2|W_+|_g^2 \right) d\mu_g \geq \frac{2}{3} ((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \pm E)^+)^2, \quad (2)$$

where $(\cdot)^+$ denotes the self-dual harmonic part. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \pm E)^+)^2 &= (c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+ \pm E^+)^2 \\ &= (c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+)^2 \pm 2c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+ \cdot E^+ + (E^+)^2 \\ &\geq (c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+)^2 \pm 2c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+ \cdot E^+.\end{aligned}$$

Thus at least one of $((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^+)^2$ and $((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) - E)^+)^2$ should be greater than or equal to $(c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+)^2$. Say $((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^+)^2 \geq (c_1(\mathfrak{s})^+)^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^+)^2 &\geq c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}) \\ &\geq 2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $d(\mathfrak{s}) := \frac{1}{4}(c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}) - (2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)))$, the dimension of the Seiberg-Witten moduli space of $(M, \mathfrak{s})$ is nonnegative. $\blacksquare$

Now suppose that g is an Einstein metric on $\tilde{M}$. Then the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula gives :

$$\begin{aligned} 2\chi(\tilde{M}) + 3\tau(\tilde{M}) &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\tilde{M}} \left(\frac{s_g^2}{24} + 2|W_+|_g^2 - \frac{|\overset{\circ}{r}|_g^2}{2} \right) d\mu_g \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\tilde{M}} \left(\frac{s_g^2}{24} + 2|W_+|_g^2 \right) d\mu_g \\ &\geq \frac{2}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)). \end{aligned}$$

Combined with (1), it follows that

$$\frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)). \quad (3)$$

It remains to deal with the equality case in the above inequality. Suppose the equality holds. Then from the above we have

$$((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^+)^2 = c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}) = 2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M). \quad (4)$$

Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) > 0$, which implies

$$((c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^+)^2 > 0$$

by (3) and (4).

From the the equality in (2), LeBrun's result [9] says that $(\tilde{M}, g)$ must be almost-Kähler with almost-Kähler form a multiple of $(c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^+$ such that the basic class $c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E$ being the anti-canonical class of the associated almost-complex structure, and the almost-Kähler form is an eigenvector of W_+ everywhere.

Applying Armstrong's result [2] that any closed almost-Kähler Einstein 4-manifold whose almost-Kähler form is an eigenvector of W_+ everywhere is Kähler, or Apostolov-Armstrong-Drăghici's result [1] that any closed almost-Kähler 4-manifold which saturates (2) and whose Ricci tensor is invariant under the almost-complex structure is Kähler, we conclude that $(\tilde{M}, g)$ is Kähler.

Since $(\tilde{M}, g)$ is Kähler-Einstein, we can apply the Enriques-Kodaira classification of compact complex surfaces. Since $\tilde{M}$ has a nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant, its Kodaira dimension is nonnegative. Then it is minimal, because it admits a Kähler-Einstein metric.

Now the anti-canonical class is non-torsion, because $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}) > 0$ from (4). Then the basic classes of such a minimal Kähler surface are numerically equivalent to $rc_1(K)$, where $|r| \leq 1$ is a rational number, and K is the canonical line bundle. (See [10].) But $\pm(c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \pm E)$ are basic classes of $\tilde{M}$. This means that $E = 0$, implying that

$$b_2(N_i) = 0 \quad \forall i.$$

Finally using Wu's formula [14, 5] for a closed almost-complex 4-manifold, and (4),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + E)^2 - (2\chi(\tilde{M}) + 3\tau(\tilde{M})) \\ &= c_1(\mathfrak{s})^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m b_2(N_i) - (2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M) - \sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1))) \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^m 4(b_1(N_i) - 1), \end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$b_1(N_i) = 1 \quad \forall i.$$

Thus $\sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) = 0$, yielding a contradiction.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

By successively applying theorem 2.2 and 2.3, the Seiberg-Witten invariant of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}})$ is nontrivial, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ is the Spin^c structure gotten by gluing $\mathfrak{s}$ on M which has nontrivial Seiberg-Witten invariant and $\mathfrak{s}'$ on $(\cup_{i=1}^m N_i) \cup (\cup_{j=1}^m \bar{N}_j)$ such that $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}'|_{N_i}) = -b_2(N_i)$ and $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}'|_{\bar{N}_j}) = -b_2(\bar{N}_j)$ for all i, j .

As before, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(\tilde{M}) &= \chi(M) + \sum_{i=1}^m \chi(N_i) + \sum_{j=1}^n (\chi(\bar{N}_j) - 4) \\
&= \chi(M) + \sum_{i=1}^m (2 - 2b_1(N_i) + b_2(N_i)) + \sum_{j=1}^n (-2 - 2b_1(\bar{N}_j) + b_2(\bar{N}_j)),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$H_2(\tilde{M}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^m H_2(N_i, \mathbb{R})) \oplus (\oplus_{j=1}^n H_2(\bar{N}_j, \mathbb{R}))$$

by a simple Mayer-Vietoris argument. (Here, we use the fact that c_i 's are non-torsion, and F_j 's and $\bar{F}_j$'s are all torsion.) Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
2\chi(\tilde{M}) + 3\tau(\tilde{M}) &= 2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M) - \sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^n (b_2(\bar{N}_j) + 4(b_1(\bar{N}_j) + 1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Now proceeding in the same way as theorem 1.3, the existence of an Einstein metric on $\tilde{M}$ dictates that

$$\frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m (b_2(N_i) + 4(b_1(N_i) - 1)) + \sum_{j=1}^n (b_2(\bar{N}_j) + 4(b_1(\bar{N}_j) + 1)),$$

and if the equality holds, then the left hand side of the above inequality is positive, and the same argument as theorem 1.3 gives that

$$b_2(N_i) = b_2(\bar{N}_j) = 1 \quad \forall i, j,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m 4(b_1(N_i) - 1) + \sum_{j=1}^n 4(b_1(\bar{N}_j) + 1) = 0$$

which is a contradiction.

References

- [1] V. Apostolov, J. Armstrong, and T. Drăghici, *Local rigidity of certain classes of almost Kähler 4-manifolds*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **21** (2002) 151–176.
- [2] J. Armstrong, *An ansatz for almost-Kähler, Einstein 4-manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **542** (2002) 53–84.
- [3] A. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag (1987).
- [4] S. Donaldson, *An application of gauge theory to four dimensional topology*, J. Diff. Geom. **18** (1983), 279–315.
- [5] F. Hirzebruch and H. Hopf, *Felder von Flächenelementen in 4-dimensionalen Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Math. Ann. **136** (1958), 156–172.
- [6] N. Hitchin, *On compact four-dimensional Einstein manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **9** (1974), 435–441.
- [7] C. LeBrun, *Einstein metrics and Mostow rigidity*, Math. Res. Lett. **2** (1995), 1–8.
- [8] C. LeBrun, *Four manifolds without Einstein metrics*, Math. Res. Lett. **3** (1996), 133–147.
- [9] C. LeBrun, *Ricci curvature, minimal volumes, and Seiberg-Witten theory*, Invent. Math. **145** (2001), 279–316.
- [10] J. Morgan, *The Seiberg-Witten Equations and applications to the topology of smooth four-manifolds*, Princeton University Press, 1996.
- [11] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *Higher type adjunction inequalities in Seiberg-Witten theory*, J. Diff. Geom. **55** (2000), 385–440.
- [12] C. Sung, *Surgery, Yamabe invariant, and Seiberg-Witten theory*, to appear, arXiv:0710.2375.
- [13] J. Thorpe, *Some remarks on the Gauss-Bonnet integral*, J. Math. Mech. **18** (1969), 779–786.
- [14] W.-T. Wu, *Sur le classes caractéristique des structures fibrées sphériques*, Actualités Sci. Ind. **1183** (1952), 1–89.